

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,132	09/745,132 12/20/2000		Akira Osamato	TI-29873	6611	
23494	7590	07/02/2004	EXAMINER WILSON, JACQUELINE B			
		ENTS INCORPOR				
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<i>D11221</i> 10,	7520	•		2612	· ·	
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/200-	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/745,1	32	OSAMATO, AKIRA					
		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Jacquelin	e Wilson	2612					
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication.  O) days, a reply within the star attutory period will apply and worwill. by statute, cause the appropriate and the star an	ent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>20 December 2</u>	<u>000</u> .						
•	-	2b)⊠ This action is r							
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) ③ is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election r	equirement.	•					
Application	on Papers								
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment	(s)		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
- U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Takizawa et al. (US 6,388,706).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding Claim 3, Takizawa et al teaches an interpolator for the color subarrays of a complementary-color filtered array (7a), a filter coupled to the output of the interpolator (referred to as performing white balance and gain adjustments) to adjust the interpolated colors at each pixel by adjusting with an imbalance factor (coefficients Ar, Ag, and Ab) for the pixel (col. 13, lines 53- col. 14, line 23).

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1 and 2 are allowed.

The prior art neither teaches nor fairly suggests a method of interpolation for a complementary-color-filtered array image, comprising the steps of:

- (a) provide a complementary-color-filtered array of pixel values with yellow pixel values Ye on a first subarray, cyan pixel values Cy on a second subarray, magenta pixel values Mg on a third subarray, and green pixel values G on a fourth subarray;
- (b) interpolating the subarray of yellow pixel values to form a yellow array;
- (c) interpolating the subarray of cyan pixel values to form a cyan array;
- (d) interpolating the subarray of magenta pixel values to form a magenta array;
- (e) interpolating the subarray of green pixel values to form a green array;
  - (f) adjusting the color values for each pixel by

Art Unit: 2612

(i) subtracting a quantity (Ye+Cy-2\*G-Mg)/4 from Ye to generate the pixel's adjusted yellow value where Ye is the pixel's yellow value from step (b), Cy is the pixel's cyan value from step (c), Mg is the pixel's magenta value from step (d), and G is the pixel's green value from step (e);

- (ii) subtracting the quantity (Ye+Cy-2\*G-Mg)/4 from Cy to generate the pixel's adjusted cyan value;
- (iii) adding the quantity (Ye+Cy-2\*G-Mg)/4 to Mg to generate the pixel's adjusted magenta value; and
- (iv) adding the quantity (Ye+Cy-2\*G-Mg)/8 to G to generate the pixel's adjusted green value, as claimed in claim 1.Claim 2 is substantially similar to Claim 1.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 308-5080. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:00pm (alternate Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JBW 06/24/04

WENDY R. GARBER
WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600